



ISTITUTO DI ANALISI DEI SISTEMI ED INFORMATICA
“Antonio Ruberti”
CONSIGLIO NAZIONALE DELLE RICERCHE

G. Pirillo

**SOME RECENT RESULTS OF FIBONACCI
NUMBERS, FIBONACCI WORDS AND
STURMIAN WORDS**

R. 7, 2016

Giuseppe Pirillo – Istituto di Analisi dei Sistemi ed Informatica “A. Ruberti” (IASI - CNR)
— Via dei Taurini 19, 00185 Rome, Italy (pirillo@math.unifi.it).

This is the text of an invited talk at the International Conference on Mathematics and Mathematics Education at IMO2016 co-organized by the Hong Kong Institute of Education, the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology and the Southeast Asian Mathematical Society on 10-13 July 2016 in Hong Kong.

ISSN: 1128–3378

Collana dei Rapporti dell'Istituto di Analisi dei Sistemi ed Informatica "Antonio Ruberti",
CNR

via dei Taurini 19, 00185 ROMA, Italy

tel. ++39-06-49937101/02

fax ++39-06-49937106

email: iasi@iasi.cnr.it

URL: <http://www.iasi.cnr.it>

Abstract

The discovery of incommensurability is a fundamental accomplishment of the Pythagorean School, active in Crotona (Southern Italy) between the sixth and fourth centuries BC. A strong relation can be pointed out between the finding and several concepts studied today in the field of discrete mathematics (Fibonacci numbers, Fibonacci word and Sturmian words). In this paper, we will cover some of our previous results on Sturmian words (a class of infinite words containing Fibonacci word as main example) published in theoretical computer science journals, as well as some geometric constructions published in the Italian journal *Nuova Secondaria*, in 2005. Also, we will present some ideas that may be useful in mathematical education. Lastly, arguments supporting our thesis that Fibonacci Numbers was known by Pythagorean School will be given.

Key words: incommensurability, cutting sequence, golden ratio, Fibonacci numbers, Fibonacci word.

AMS subject classifications: 68R15

1. Introduction

Two fortuitous events such as our recent visit to the Ancient Theatre of Epidaurus, in Greece, and the recent restauration of *Medieval Church of San Nicola*, in Pisa, allow us to reconsider two old questions.

The *Ancient Theatre of Epidaurus* is situated within the archaeological site of the Sanctuary of Asklepios, in the Argolis prefecture of the Peloponnese. It is famous and admired for its symmetry, beauty and its exceptional acoustics and it is still hosting for dramatic performances. The cavea was built at the end of the fourth century BC with two seating sections: the lower one has 34 rows of benches, while the upper one has 21 of them. Now, 21 and 34 are Fibonacci numbers

A marble intarsia on the ancient main entrance of the *Medieval Church of San Nicola* in Pisa attracted the attention of Pietro Armienti, Professor in the department of *Scienze della Terra* at the *Università* of Pisa. Professor Armienti says: *The intarsia reveals the direct influence of the great Pisan mathematician Leonardo Fibonacci due to the presence of circles whose radii represent the first nine elements of the Fibonacci's sequence and which were arranged to depict some properties of the sequence.* See [2]. These deep observations are very strong arguments in support to the thesis that the intarsia contains a geometric representation of the sequence of Fibonacci numbers 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34 and 55.

Antonio Albano, which is as well a Professor at the *Università* of Pisa, confirms what Armienti had noticed and with regards to the tarsia says: *It was not until 1611 that J. Kepler showed that the ratio of two successive numbers in the sequence is alternately higher and lower than the golden section and that, with the progress of sequence, the golden section is the limit of this ratio. Therefore, without the designers of the lunette even being aware of it, they created a fascinating relationship between the tarsia devoted to the Fibonacci sequence and the linear geometric decoration devoted to the golden section,* see [1].

Therefore, after visiting the Ancient Theatre of Epidaurus and after reading Professor Armienti's very interesting paper, we reconsidered the following two old questions: when for the first time Fibonacci numbers were mathematically well defined and who defined them?

Conventional wisdom suggests that the Fibonacci numbers were first introduced in 1202 by Leonardo of Pisa, better known today as Fibonacci, in his book Liber abaci ... The intent of this article is to offer a plausible conjecture as to the origin of the Fibonacci numbers. See [16].

On the other hand in [26] we can read: *Thus it can be safely concluded that the concept of the sequence of these numbers (the Fibonacci numbers) in India is at least as old as the origin of the metrical sciences of Sanskrit and Prakrit poetry.*

Our paper contains comments on the relationship between golden ratio and the Fibonacci numbers. We try to imagine the work of Pythagorean School and the first steps that prepared their discovery of the irrational number Φ , the golden ratio. We suppose that before discovering that no common measure was possible for side and diagonal of regular pentagon, in particular they verified that: i) the side was not a common measure, ii) the half of the side was not a common measure, iii) the third of the side was not a common measure and so on. We analyze these "unsuccessful" attempts, during this analysis we realize that the Fibonacci numbers appear and we conclude that probably Pythagorean School also noticed ... the Fibonacci numbers. We would like to point out that this paper is solely based on some remarks on the arguments used by Pythagorean School and not on historical documents (that, on the other hand, do not exist even for the discovery of the irrationality of Φ : the attribution of this discovery to the Pythagorean School is posterior).

2. Structure of the paper

After the *Introduction* and the *Structure of the paper*, the following pages contain 6 other sections. In the *Preliminaries* we recall the necessary notions of combinatorics on words: we use the definitions and the notations of theoretical computer science, see for example [14]. In Section 4, we recall the definitions of *Fibonacci numbers and words* while some of our results concerning them are in the following Section 5. In Section 6 we recall that *Fibonacci word* is the main example of *Sturmian words* and, remembering the content of some of our previous articles, we try to show that “reasonable” properties of the Fibonacci word can be extended to all Sturmian words.

For a long time we are concerned with mathematical education (see, for example, [19] and [20]) and even today we continue: in Section 7 of this paper we recall some geometric constructions published in Nuova Secondaria in 2005 and we recall some notions of theoretical computer science (mainly the notion of cutting sequence) and some hints to professors of secondary school in order to prepare a better presentation of *irrational numbers*. In Section 8 we recall how Pythagorean School discovered the irrationality of Φ and we will give some arguments supporting our thesis that Fibonacci Numbers was known by Pythagorean School.

3. Preliminaries.

A *finite word* (or, in short, a *word*) $u = a_1a_2 \cdots a_k$ over an *alphabet* \mathcal{A} is a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{A} .

The length of u is k and is denoted by $|u|$. For example, $u = aabba$ is a word over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$ and $|u| = 5$.

An *infinite word* over an *alphabet* \mathcal{A} is an infinite sequence of elements of \mathcal{A} . Concerning the importance of the notion of infinite word see, for example, [23], [24] and [11].

An *infinite periodic word* is an infinite word s such that there exists a finite word u such that $s = uu \cdots u \cdots$ and s is denoted by u^ω . For example $aabaab \cdots aab \cdots$, the word obtained by the juxtaposition of infinitely many copies of the finite word aab , is an infinite periodic word and is denoted by $(aab)^\omega$.

An *infinite ultimately periodic word* is an infinite word s such that there exists finite words p and u such that $s = puu \cdots u \cdots$ and s is denoted by pu^ω . For example $paabaab \cdots aab \cdots$, the word obtained by the juxtaposition of the finite word p and of infinitely many copies of the finite word aab , is an infinite ultimately periodic word and is denoted by $p(aab)^\omega$.

A *recurrent word* is an infinite word s such that when it contains a factor u then it contains infinitely many occurrences of u .

A *uniformly recurrent word* is an infinite word s such that for each factor u of s there exists an integer $N(u)$ such that each factor of s having length at least $N(u)$ contains an occurrence of u .

Clearly each uniformly recurrent word is also a recurrent word.

A finite word v is a *prefix* of a finite (resp., infinite) word w if there exists a finite (resp., infinite) word v' such that $w = vv'$.

4. Fibonacci numbers and words

Let $\{a, b\}^+$ be the free semigroup on $\{a, b\}$ and φ be the morphism from $\{a, b\}^+$ into $\{a, b\}^+$ defined as follows $\varphi(a) = ab$ and $\varphi(b) = a$. The n -th (finite) Fibonacci word f_n is defined in the

following way: $f_0 = b$ and, for each $n \geq 0$, $f_{n+1} = \varphi(f_n)$. In particular, we have: $f_1 = a$, $f_2 = ab$, $f_3 = aba$, $f_4 = abaab$, $f_5 = abaababa$, $f_6 = abaababaabaab$, $f_7 = abaababaabaababaababa \dots$. It is clear that, for each $n \geq 2$, f_n is the product (juxtaposition) $f_{n-1}f_{n-2}$ of f_{n-1} and f_{n-2} .

Moreover, for each $n \geq 0$, $|f_n|$ is the n -th element F_n of the Fibonacci sequence $F_0 = 1$, $F_1 = 1$, $F_2 = 2$, $F_3 = 3$, $F_4 = 5$, $F_5 = 8$, $F_6 = 13$, $F_7 = 21 \dots$. See [8] (and, also, [10], [5], [21], [29], [18], [6], ...). Now, consider a fixed regular pentagon having side s and diagonal d ; in this paper we propose a particular interpretation of the sequence of Fibonacci numbers: to each $i \geq 0$ we associate a unit of measure such that, with respect to it, F_i is exactly the measure of s and F_{i+1} is quite exactly the measure of d . Recall that $\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}$ tends to $\Phi = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, the *golden ratio*, when n goes to infinity. The golden ratio is exactly the ratio between diagonal and side of regular pentagon.

Note that, for each $n \geq 1$, the n -th (finite) Fibonacci word f_n is a prefix of f_{n+1} , the $(n+1)$ -th (finite) Fibonacci word. There exist a unique infinite word, namely the (infinite) Fibonacci word f , such that, for each $n \geq 1$, f_n is a prefix of f and we have

$$f = abaababaabaababaabaababaabaababaabaababa \dots$$

5. Some results on Fibonacci words

In the Lothaire book the sequence of Fibonacci words is presented in Chapter 1 (see [14]), because of its central role in Combinatorics on word. See also [27] and [28].

For each $n \geq 2$, we denote g_n the product $f_{n-2}f_{n-1}$ and h_n the longest common prefix of f_n and g_n . In particular, we have: $g_2 = ba$, $g_3 = aab$, $g_4 = ababa$, $g_5 = abaabaab$, \dots and $h_2 = 1$ (= the empty word), $h_3 = a$, $h_4 = aba$, $h_5 = abaaba \dots$.

The following “*near commutativity property*” (see [12], [13]) is very interesting and intriguing and can be usefully presented to secondary school students.

Lemma. For each $n \geq 2$,

- i) $f_n = h_nxy$ and $g_n = h_nyx$, where $x, y \in \{a, b\}$, $x \neq y$, and if n is even then $xy = ab$, and if n is odd then $xy = ba$;
- ii) h_n is a palindrome.

It is easy but important to prove the following result. This result also can be usefully presented to secondary school students.

The infinite Fibonacci word is uniformly recurrent and not ultimately periodic.

If w is a finite non empty word, we can consider the infinite periodic word p_w , defined as follows: $p_w = www \dots w \dots = w^\omega$. We say that u is a *fractional power* of the (finite) word w if u is a prefix of p_w . We say that w is the *base* and $k = \frac{|u|}{|w|}$ is the *exponent* of the fractional power and we write $u = w^k$. In general k is a rational number, but if k is an integer we have the usual notion of the power of a word.

For the two following Propositions, see [17].

Proposition. No fractional power with exponent greater than $1 + \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ can be a left factor of the Fibonacci infinite word f . More precisely, if vuv is a fractional power which is a left factor of f then $v = f_n$ for some n and $|vuv| \leq |f_n| + |f_n| + |f_{n-1}| - 2$

Proposition. The Fibonacci infinite word f contains no fractional power with exponent greater than $2 + \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ and, for any real number $\epsilon > 0$, it contains a fractional power with exponent greater than $2 + \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} - \epsilon$.

6.

This study of the fractional powers of the infinite Fibonacci word has been very useful for the analogous study of the fractional powers of Sturmian words.

The Fibonacci word is also strictly related to the theory of codes. For the definitions of prefix codes and bifix codes see the book of Berstel and Perrin [4]. For the following three propositions see [22].

Proposition. *Let*

$$f = u_0 u_1 \dots u_i \dots$$

be the factorization of f such that $|u_i| = F_{2i+1}$. Then $\{u_i \mid i \geq 0\}$ is a prefix code.

Proposition. *Let*

$$f = v_0 v_1 \dots v_i \dots$$

be the factorization of f such that $|v_i| = F_{2(i+1)}$. Then $\{v_i \mid i \geq 0\}$ is a prefix code.

Proposition. *Let $n \geq 4$. Let $f = w_0 w_1 \dots w_i \dots$ be the factorization of f such that $|w_0| = F_n + F_{n-2} - 1$ and, for each $i \geq 1$, $|w_i| = F_{n+2(i-2)-1} + 2F_{n+2(i-1)}$. Then $\{w_i \mid i \geq 0\}$ is a bifix code.*

6. Fibonacci words and Sturmian words

For the definition of Sturmian words see [15] and for the definition of episturmian words see [7].

The Fibonacci word f is the main example of Sturmian words and some of its “reasonable” properties hold for all Sturmian words and even can be extended to all episturmian words:

1) For each $n \geq 2$, h_n is a prefix of f . Being h_n the longest common prefix of f_n and g_n one easily has

$$h_n ab \sim h_n ba,$$

i.e. $h_n ab$ is a conjugate of $h_n ba$. For example, $abaab \sim ababa, \dots$. In [23] we proved that the relation

$$wab \sim wba$$

characterizes the palindromic prefixes of standard Sturmian words.

2) It is clear that the first occurrence of the palindrome a is in central position in the prefix a of f . It is also clear that the first occurrence of the palindrome b is in central position in the prefix $h_4 = aba$ of f . Similarly the first occurrence of aa is central in $h_5 = abaaba$ and so on. These very easy remarks were at the origin of the fundamental definition of episturmian word [7].

3) It is again easy to verify that aa , aab , $aaba$ are respectively the smallest words in the lexicographic order among the words of f of length 2, 3, 4 \dots . These remarks are, for example, at the origin of the results of [24] on Sturmian and episturmian words.

7. Irrational numbers.

The following is one of the most beautiful definitions in mathematics. *Those magnitudes measured by the same measure are said to be commensurable, but those which admit no common measure are said to be incommensurable* (definition 1 of Book X of *Elements* of Euclid). Incommensurability is also one of the most difficult arguments for high school students and, even, for university students. Perhaps the difficulties of the students stems from its abstract character and the absence of supporting images.

We have given some suggestions to secondary school teachers, for example in [25] published in Italian in *Nuova Secondaria*. We suggested to present the notion of *cutting sequence*. In Figure 1 we see the cutting sequence

$$abaabababababab = (abaab)^3$$

which is the prefix of the periodic cutting sequence $(abaab)^\omega$. In Figure 2 we see the prefix

$$abaabababababab$$

of the cutting sequence corresponding to $y = \Phi x$ where Φ is the *golden ratio*, $\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, the ratio between diagonal and side of regular pentagon. Note that, since Φ is an irrational number, the line $y = \Phi x$ will never cross a point with integer coordinates different by $(0, 0)$.

We also recall that in [25] we presented four new examples of geometric constructions of incommensurable segments. One of them concerns the regular octagon (see Figure 3). We proved that the sides of the external octagon and of the internal octagon are incommensurable. Another of them concerns the regular dodecagon (see Figure 4). We proved that the sides of the external dodecagon and of the internal dodecagon are incommensurable.

8. The number Φ and the Fibonacci numbers

Pythagoras probably knew and taught the substance of what is contained in the first two books of Euclid ... (see [3]).

In particular, the four following Propositions (that correspond to Proposition 18, 19, 20 and 32 of Book 1 of Euclid's Elements, see [9]) were very well known to Pythagorean School.

Proposition. *In any triangle, the greater side subtends the greater angle.*

Proposition. *In any triangle, the greater angle is subtended by the greater side.*

Proposition. *In any triangle, the sum of any two sides is greater than the remaining one.*

Proposition. *In any triangle, the sum of the three interior angles equals two right angles.*

The following proposition is the fundamental argument in one of the Pythagorean possible proof of the incommensurability of side and diagonal of a regular pentagon. See [25]. So, we suppose that this proposition also was very well known by Pythagorean School.

Pythagorean Proposition. *A strictly decreasing sequence of positive integers is necessarily finite.*

Now, we shortly recall how Pythagorean School could have used the previous proposition in their proof of incommensurability.

Look at Figure 5: a common measure ¹ of side and diagonal of the pentagon $ABCDE$ implies the existence of two different integers b and a such that

$$b : a = a : (a + b)$$

and, consequently, that

$$b(a + b) = a^2.$$

Now, two integers b and a satisfying $b(a + b) = a^2$ cannot exist!

Indeed, if a and b are both even then, by the Pythagorean Proposition, also occurs a smaller case where at least one of the two integers a and b is odd. Moreover if a and b are both odd then $(a + b)b$ is even and a^2 is odd. Contradiction. If a is even and b odd then

¹In this case by *common measure* the Pythagorean School just means a segment which is exactly contained an integer number of times in the side and also exactly contained an integer number of times in the diagonal. At the time of Pythagorean School the *theory of measure* was very simple.

$(a+b)b$ is odd and a^2 is even. Contradiction. And, finally, if a is odd and b even then $(a+b)b$ is even and a^2 is odd. Contradiction.

Therefore a and b cannot be both integers and so *side* and *diagonal* of the *regular pentagon* cannot have a common measure and so Pythagorean School proved the

Theorem. *Side and diagonal of a regular pentagon are incommensurable.*

Sure, this fundamental result is not arrived at the first attempt. More probably, Pythagorean School tried several time to find a common measure of *side* and *diagonal* of the *regular pentagon*, i.e., they tried to find two **integers** k and n such that the segment contained exactly k times in the side was also contained exactly n time in the diagonal. In other words, for a probably long period, they tried to find an exact integer solution of the equation $k(n+k) = n^2$. Clearly we don't know how many attempts they made but at a moment (when they proved that *side and diagonal of a regular pentagon are incommensurable*, see [30]) they realized that any further attempts would be in vain. So, Pythagorean School formalized the impossibility to have integer solutions for the equation $k(n+k) = n^2$.

Now, let us see in details the failed attempts concerning the two above mentioned **integers** k and n .

First step: $k = 1$ (This attempt concerns the side as a common measure). Now, $1(1+1)$ and 1^2 differ ² by 1 and the same happens for $1(1+2)$ and 2^2 . So, easily the equation $k(n+k) = n^2$ has no integer solutions with $k = 1$ and the required common measure cannot be the side. This conclusion arises also by the above recalled Propositions of Book 1 of Euclid's Elements that suggest directly: the measure of the side must be strictly smaller than the measure of the diagonal and the measure of the diagonal must be strictly smaller than two times the side.

Second step: $k = 2$ (This attempt concerns the half of the side as a common measure). Necessarily $2 < n < 4$. So n must be 3. But $2(2+3)$ and 3^2 differ by 1. Therefore, the half of the side also fails as a common measure.

Third step: $k = 3$ (This attempt concerns the third part of the side as a common measure). Necessarily $3 < n < 6$. So n must be 4 or 5. But $3(3+4)$ and 4^2 differ by 5 while $3(3+5)$ and 5^2 differ by 1 and also the third part of the side fails as a common measure.

Fourth step: $k = 4$ (This attempt concerns the fourth part of the side as a common measure). Necessarily $4 < n < 8$. So n must be 5, 6 or 7: when $n = 5$ then $k(n+k)$ and n^2 differ by 11; when $n = 6$, then $k(n+k)$ and n^2 differ by 4 (note that this case is clearly related to a previous one: $k = 2, n = 3$), when $n = 7$, then $k(n+k)$ and n^2 differ by 5. So the fourth part of the side fails as a common measure.

Fifth step: $k = 5$ (This attempt concerns the fifth part of the side as a common measure). Necessarily $5 < n < 10$. So n must be 6, 7, 8 or 9: when $n = 6$ then $k(n+k)$ and n^2 differ by 19; when $n = 7$ then $k(n+k)$ and n^2 differ by 11; when $n = 8$ then $k(n+k)$ and n^2 differ by 1; when $n = 9$ then $k(n+k)$ and n^2 differ by 11. So the fifth part of the side fails as a common measure.

We could stop here but we continue with the help of a program prepared by my brother Mario (while Pythagorean School has continued with many patience and long calculations) and we verify that, for each positive integer k , $1 \leq k \leq 1000$, we never found an integer n ,

²Using the today notation we have the more precise equations: $1(1+1) - 1^2 = 1$ and $1(1+2) - 2^2 = -1$. But, saying that " $k(n+k)$ and n^2 differ by 1" we can avoid (exactly as we wish) the discussion if negative numbers were known by Pythagorean School.

$k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 1$ such that $k(n + k)$ and n^2 are equal (**and this is in perfect accord with the Theorem: side and diagonal of a regular pentagon are incommensurable**).

Now, in some cases (and only in these cases) the difference between $k(n + k)$ and n^2 (**that never could be 0**), is the smallest possible, i.e., it is exactly 1.

We report these values in the following table obtained using a program prepared by my brother Mario. This program selects all the k , $1 \leq k \leq 1000$, such that there exist n such that $k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 1$ and that $k(n + k)$ and n^2 differ just by 1.

k	n	$n + k$	$k(n + k)$	n^2
1	1	2	$1^2 + 1$	1^2
1	2	3	$2^2 - 1$	2^2
2	3	5	$3^2 + 1$	3^2
3	5	8	$5^2 - 1$	5^2
5	8	13	$8^2 + 1$	8^2
8	13	21	$13^2 - 1$	13^2
13	21	34	$21^2 + 1$	21^2
21	34	55	$34^2 - 1$	34^2
34	55	89	$55^2 + 1$	55^2
55	89	144	$89^2 - 1$	89^2
89	144	233	$144^2 + 1$	144^2
144	233	377	$233^2 - 1$	233^2
233	377	610	$377^2 + 1$	377^2
377	610	987	$610^2 - 1$	610^2
610	987	1597	$987^2 + 1$	987^2
987	1597	2584	$1597^2 - 1$	1597^2

We suppose that Pythagorean School (that loved to play with numbers) has remarked these cases.

The Fibonacci numbers are:

- i) in the first column,
 - ii) in the second column with a shift of a place
- and
- iii) in the third column with a shift of two places.

Moreover, the squares of Fibonacci numbers are in the fifth column (while in the fourth column there are alternatively the predecessor and the successor of these squares).

Is it possible that they did not noticed them? Sure it is possible. On the other hand, even in this case the previous remarks may be helpful to explain to students of secondary school or of the university the deep link between the Fibonacci numbers and the irrational number Φ .

Now, there are good reasons to conclude that the Pythagorean School knew the Fibonacci numbers

Yet, this is not what we have demonstrated in our paper. We have shown that *almost certainly* Pythagoreans worked with them and that they *probably* noticed them, but we do not have documents allowing us to retrieve such a conclusion. In fact, we only have the combinatorial argument attributed to Pythagorean School for the discovery of Φ .

Acknowledgement. I thank my brother Mario for the very useful program, the Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica "U. Dini" for giving me friendly hospitality and the Project Interomics of CNR for the financial support.

References

- [1] Antonio Albano, The Lunette of the Medieval Church of San Nicola in Pisa with a Linear Geometric Decoration Representing the Golden Section and the Fibonacci Sequence.
- [2] Pietro Armienti, The medieval roots of modern scientific thought. A Fibonacci abacus on the facade of the church of San Nicola in Pisa, *Journal of Cultural Heritage*.
- [3] R. Ball, A short account of the history of mathematics, Dover Publication, New York, 1908.
- [4] J. Berstel, D.Perrin, *Theory of codes*, volume 117 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL, 1985.
- [5] Boulger, Pythagoras meets Fibonacci, *Mathematics Teacher*, 1989.
- [6] P. Bundschuh and K. Vannen, Some Arithmetical Results on Reciprocal Sums of Certain FibonacciType Numbers, *Southeast Asian Bull. of Math.*, Vol.40(6) (2016) page: 797814
- [7] X. Droubay, J. Justin, G. Pirillo, Episturmian words and some constructions of de Luca and Rauzy. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, **255** (1-2) (2001) 539–553.
- [8] Fibonacci (Leonardo Pisano, Bigollo), *Liber abbaci* pubblicato secondo la lezione del Codice Magliabechiano C. 1, 2616, Badia Fiorentina, n. 73 da Baldassarre Boncompagni, socio ordinario dell'Accademia pontificia de' nuovi Lincei, Roma, Tipografia delle scienze matematiche e fisiche, 1857.
- [9] Richard Fitzpatrick, Euclid's Elements of Geometry The Greek text of J.L. Heiberg (18831885) from Euclidis Elementa, edidit et Latine interpretatus est I.L. Heiberg, in aedibus B.G. Teubneri, 18831885 edited, and provided with a modern English translation, by Richard Fitzpatrick.
- [10] IREM, Nombre d'or et nombres de Fibonacci, publication IREM, Paris.
- [11] J. Justin, G. Pirillo, Repetitvity of Semigroups and a Result of Cassaigne, Currie, Schaeffer and Shallit, IN MEMORIAM STEFANO VARRICCHIO, *Southeast Asian Bull. of Math.*, to appear.
- [12] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, volume 1: Fundamental Algorithms, Addison-Wesley, 1968.
- [13] D. E. Knuth, J. H. Morris, Jr., V. R. Pratt, Fast pattern matching in strings. *SIAM J. Comput.*, **6** (2) (1977) 323–350.
- [14] M. Lothaire, *Combinatorics on words*, Addison Wesley, London, 1983 (also, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, Corrected reprint of the 1983 original, with a new preface by Perrin.)
- [15] M. Lothaire, Sturmian words, (J. Berstel, P. Séébold, Chapter 2) in *Algebraic combinatorics on words*.
- [16] P. Marketosc, T.C. Scotta On the origin of the Fibonacci Sequence, Published by MacTutor History of Mathematics March 23, 2014.

- [17] F. Mignosi and G. Pirillo, Repetitions in the Fibonacci infinite word, *RAIRO Inform. Théor. Appl.*, 26 3 (1992) 199–204.
- [18] F.P. Muga, A k-ary Generalization of the Fibonacci Cubes, *Southeast Asian Bull. of Math.*, Vol.38(5) (2014) page: 683692
- [19] G. Pirillo. La scuola francese e l’insegnamento della matematica (da Napoleone ad Haby in una ventina di minuti ed una decina di lucidi), *Notiziario UMI*, 1979, Quinto convegno UMI sull’insegnamento della matematica, Ferrara 20–21 aprile 1979.
- [20] G. Pirillo, Si può parlare delle parole di Fibonacci a studenti di liceo? *Notiziario UMI*, 1993, Atti del Quindicesimo Convegno sull’insegnamento della matematica, Notiziario Unione Matematica Italiana, Supplemento, n. 5, Maggio 1993.
- [21] G. Pirillo, Fibonacci numbers and words, *Discrete Math.*, 173 (1-3) (1997) 197–207.
- [22] G. Pirillo. Some factorizations of the Fibonacci word, *Algebra Colloq.*, 6 (4) (1999) 361–368.
- [23] G. Pirillo, A curious characteristic property of standard Sturmian words, in “Algebraic combinatorics and computer science”, Springer Italia, Milan, 2001, 541–546.
- [24] G. Pirillo, Inequalities characterizing standard Sturmian and episturmian words, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, **341** (1-3) (2005), 276–292.
- [25] G. Pirillo, Numeri irrazionali e segmenti incommensurabili, *Nuova Secondaria*, **7**, (2005), 87-91.
- [26] P. Singh, The So-called Fibonacci Numbers in Ancient and Medieval India, *Historia Mathematica*, 12 (1985) 229–244.
- [27] G. Pirillo A hierarchy for circular codes *Theor. Inform. Appl.* **42** 4 (2008), 717–728.
- [28] G. Pirillo, Morse and Hedlund’s skew sturmian words revisited. *Annals of Combinatorics*, 2008.
- [29] O. Yayenie, New Identities for Generalized Fibonacci Sequences and New Generalization of Lucas Sequences, *Southeast Asian Bull. of Math.*, Vol.36(5) (2012) page: 739
- [30] K. von Fritz, The Discovery of Incommensurability by Hippasus of Metapontum, *Annals of Mathematics*, Second Series, **46**, 2 (1945), 242–264.




Figure 4: The sides of the two regular dodecagons are incommensurable.




Figure 5: Side and diagonal of a regular pentagon, have not a common measure.




Figure 6: Side, half part of the side, third part of the side, fourth part of the side are not common measure between side and diagonal of regular pentagon.